

# A clinical perspective: untreated hearing loss and cognitive decline

## Abstract

Untreated hearing loss has been recognized as a potentially modifiable risk factor for cognitive decline. Research demonstrates that many “at-risk” adults with untreated hearing loss experience earlier onset and faster cognitive deterioration (“at-risk” as used here includes people with additional risk factors such as older adults, people with greater hearing loss, people with cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and/or polypharmacy issues, etc). Auditory decline begins decades before it is detected by conventional hearing tests. Sub-clinical changes such as cochlear synaptopathy, speech-in-noise problems, and extended high-frequency loss can disrupt auditory-cognitive processing even when conventional hearing thresholds from 250 to 8000 Hz appear normal. Early symptoms like tinnitus and difficulty understanding speech in noise may signal underlying neural vulnerability. Sub-clinical (not visible on a conventional hearing test) auditory deficits may increase cognitive load, as the brain must work harder to process degraded sound. Over time, this sustained effort may reduce neural efficiency and may contribute to cognitive maladaptation and may accelerate atrophy in brain regions involved in memory and executive function. Social isolation, anxiety, depression, and reduced communication are common consequences of untreated hearing loss, each of which may compound cognitive risk. Emerging evidence suggests that hearing loss is not only a marker but may also be a mechanism of cognitive decline. Degradation of speech-in-noise performance and the presence of tinnitus are associated with increased dementia risk and may serve as early biomarkers. Intervention studies indicate that hearing aids may slow cognitive decline in at-risk patients, with trials like ACHIEVE showing nearly a 50% reduction in cognitive decline over three years. Overall, early detection via comprehensive auditory testing (not hearing screenings) is critical. Diagnosing and treating hearing loss promptly (mid-life) may preserve cognitive function, may reduce dementia risk, and may support long-term brain health before the negative consequences of long-term untreated hearing loss appear.

Volume 18 Issue 2 - 2026

**Darrow Keith N,<sup>1</sup> Beck Douglas L,<sup>2</sup> Slattery William H<sup>3</sup>**<sup>1</sup>Professor, Worcester State University, USA<sup>2</sup>Senior Director of Audiology Professional Relations, EssilorLuxottica Inc, Adjunct Clinical Professor of Communication Disorders & Sciences, State University of NY at Buffalo, USA<sup>3</sup>President, House Ear Clinic, Los Angeles, California, Clinical Professor of Otolaryngology, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California, USA**Correspondence:** Douglas L Beck Au.D, Senior Director of Audiology Essilor Luxottica North America, USA, Email dbeck@essilorluxottica.com**Received:** February 21, 2026 | **Published:** May 04, 2026

## Introduction

Hearing loss is the most prevalent sensory impairment.<sup>1,2</sup> In recent years, there has been significant attention given to the correlation of untreated hearing loss in adults and an increased risk of cognitive decline. Multiple outcomes-based correlational studies have indicated that for many people at risk of cognitive decline, hearing aid amplification may be beneficial regarding slowing or reducing cognitive decline.<sup>3-10</sup> Age-related hearing loss (ARHL) and the consequences of ARHL (i.e., social isolation, lack of communication ability, anxiety, depression etc) are increasingly recognized as contributors to cognitive decline and dementia. Large epidemiological studies demonstrate correlations between untreated hearing loss and accelerated cognitive decline in at-risk individuals. At-risk people includes those who are older, have less education, have greater degrees of hearing loss, have co-morbidities (diabetes, pre-diabetes, cardiovascular issues etc.) and/or poly-pharmacy issues.<sup>6,13-18</sup>

Age-related auditory decline (i.e., presbycusis) begins years or decades before conventional threshold-based measures (i.e., 250 to 8000 Hz) indicate hearing impairment. Sub-clinical auditory pathology, including cochlear synaptopathy (the loss of synapses between inner hair cells and auditory nerve fibers) and extended high-frequency threshold elevation may disrupt auditory-cognitive integration despite normal conventional pure-tone sensitivity. Functional symptoms such as tinnitus, difficulty understanding speech in noise and reduced working memory capacity frequently precede conventional threshold loss and may reflect early cochlear or neural dysfunction within auditory and attentional networks.<sup>19-29</sup>

Mid-life detection of sub-clinical (i.e., not detectable via conventional threshold measures) auditory anomalies allows timely diagnosis and treatment, prior to the consequences of untreated hearing loss, including anxiety, depression, social isolation, attenuated communicative ability, and possible cognitive decline.

Unfortunately, mid-life audiometric changes are unlikely to be detected via conventional audiometry or hearing screenings which typically assess only loudness thresholds across a limited range of pure-tone frequencies. To identify and treat auditory deficits, comprehensive audiometric evaluations are required, including extended high frequency assessment, speech in noise testing, otoacoustic emissions, acoustic reflexes, and tympanometry.<sup>30,31</sup> A thorough medical case history is essential, as cardiovascular disease, diabetes, smoking, traumatic brain injury, and other common health conditions are independently associated with increased risk of hearing loss.<sup>32-34</sup> Validated self-report instruments such as the Hearing Handicap Inventory for the Elderly<sup>35</sup> and the Tinnitus Handicap Inventory<sup>36</sup> further quantify the functional and psychosocial impact of auditory symptoms which conventional pure-tone thresholds alone cannot capture.

## Auditory decline as an early signal of cognitive risk

Hearing loss has been identified among the most significant potentially modifiable risk factors for dementia.<sup>5,37-40</sup> Longitudinal cohort studies and meta-analyses demonstrate that at-risk individuals with untreated hearing loss often experience earlier onset and more

rapid progression of cognitive decline compared to those with normal hearing or those treated with amplification.<sup>4,6,41</sup> Although these correlations are well-established, the mechanistic pathways linking auditory dysfunction to cognitive decline appear multifactorial, involving neural, cognitive, and psychosocial processes.<sup>42-44</sup>

Many common conditions in the aging population, including cardiovascular disease, diabetes, polypharmacy, and prior traumatic brain injury are independently associated with increased risk of hearing loss, suggesting that auditory decline may emerge earlier and progress more rapidly in medically complex individuals. Clinicians managing these conditions should recognize hearing loss as a potentially early manifestation of systemic disease and as an independent contributor to cognitive burden. Untreated hearing loss increases demand on attention, working memory, and executive function, resources which may already be compromised in medically vulnerable populations.

Processing degraded, distorted, and attenuated auditory input requires increased and sustained engagement of cognitive resources, often increasing cognitive load. Over time, increased listening effort can reduce neural efficiency and may promote cognitive maladaptation<sup>45</sup> such that erroneous, attenuated and/or distorted incoming sensory signals interfere with previously established cognition, knowledge and neural pathways. Neuroimaging studies demonstrate that hearing loss is associated with reduced gray matter in auditory and temporal regions, alterations in cortical organization, and changes in functional connectivity within networks supporting memory and executive function.<sup>18,46-48</sup>

These findings suggest that auditory system integrity plays a foundational role in maintaining cognitive resilience, and that early auditory decline may serve as both a marker and a mechanism of broader vulnerability.

### Sub-clinical auditory decline

Age-related auditory decline does not begin with the onset of traditionally measured hearing loss. Degenerative changes in the auditory system may start as early as the pre-teenage years<sup>49</sup> and population-based data indicates that the majority of adults who report hearing difficulty identify symptom onset between the ages of 30 and 59<sup>50</sup> with extended high-frequency threshold elevation detectable as early as the late 20s.<sup>51,52</sup> During this sub-clinical stage, the cochlea and auditory nerve undergo progressive neural degeneration, beginning with cochlear synaptopathy and followed by the gradual degeneration of ascending auditory nerve fibers.<sup>53</sup>

These changes significantly degrade the quantity and quality of acoustic information transmitted to the brain. Despite the loss of up to 70-80% of auditory nerve fibers, conventional audiometric thresholds may remain normal.<sup>54,55</sup> However, temporal resolution, extended high-frequency sensitivity, and word recognition in noise tend to progressively deteriorate.<sup>20,56</sup> Indeed, middle-aged individuals with normal conventional audiograms frequently report difficulty understanding speech in noise, such as following conversations in restaurants, social gatherings, and group settings, and/or they experience tinnitus.<sup>57,58</sup> These functional deficits, frequently termed 'hidden hearing loss,' are not captured by conventional pure-tone audiometry or hearing screenings, all of which underscore the need for comprehensive audiometric evaluations.

### Functional auditory symptoms as early biomarkers of cognitive decline

Functional symptoms of sub-clinical ARHL may serve as early indicators of neural vulnerability to cognitive decline.

Tinnitus has been associated with abnormal neural activity across distributed auditory, attentional, and limbic brain networks. Neuroimaging studies demonstrate that tinnitus involves hyperactivity in auditory pathways and altered connectivity in limbic structures, attention networks, and the default mode networks, suggesting that chronic tinnitus may function as a biomarker of broader vulnerability, rather than an isolated auditory symptom.<sup>59-61</sup> Meta-analytic and population-based evidence confirms that tinnitus is associated with elevated risk of dementia and Alzheimer's disease.<sup>62,63</sup>

Speech-in-noise difficulty similarly reflects impaired neural encoding and reduced efficiency of communication between sensory and cognitive processing systems. Understanding speech in background noise requires peripheral auditory integrity and engagement of higher-order cognitive processes including attention, working memory, and executive control.<sup>64</sup>

Large population-based studies demonstrate that impaired speech-in-noise performance is independently associated with increased dementia risk. In the UK Biobank study (n ≈ 82,039, 11-year follow-up), poor speech-in-noise ability was associated with a 61% hazard ratio increased risk of incident dementia compared to those with normal performance,<sup>65</sup> reinforcing the view that processing deficits may serve as observable biomarkers of neural strain across auditory, attentional, and cognitive networks.

### Auditory deprivation, cognitive load, and vulnerability in aging

Several complementary mechanisms have been proposed to explain how auditory dysfunction may contribute to cognitive decline. Degraded auditory input increases cognitive load by diverting attentional and executive resources towards perceptual processing, reducing resources available for higher-order cognitive processes such as memory encoding and executive function.<sup>64,66</sup> Chronically increased listening effort may promote cognitive fatigue and maladaptive neural reorganization, particularly when compounded by sensory deprivation. Reduced afferent auditory input alters cortical organization and network connectivity, promoting cross-modal reorganization and functional changes within attention, memory, and executive networks. These neuroplastic changes may be adaptive or maladaptive, as proposed through the Cognitive Maladaptation Hypothesis.<sup>45</sup> Consistent with maladaptive reorganization, neuroimaging studies demonstrate accelerated brain atrophy in auditory and temporal regions among individuals with hearing loss.<sup>46-48</sup>

The cognitive consequences of auditory deprivation are not likely to be uniform across individuals. Those with pre-existing vulnerabilities, including cardio-vascular and metabolic disease, dual-sensory impairment,<sup>67</sup> polypharmacy, traumatic brain injury, or chronic neuropsychiatric conditions, often exhibit diminished cognitive reserve. In these populations, increased listening effort and auditory-related neural stress may interact synergistically with vascular, inflammatory, or neurodegenerative processes, accelerating network-level dysfunction.<sup>14,18,68</sup> From a clinical perspective, hearing

loss and tinnitus may function as independent contributors to cognitive risk, and also as amplifiers of vulnerability in populations already burdened by co-morbid disease.

## Hearing loss, dementia risk, and the importance of early intervention

Given the established role of hearing loss as a leading potentially modifiable risk factor for dementia, attention has increasingly turned to whether timely audiologic intervention can mitigate cognitive decline. Longitudinal observational data demonstrates that untreated hearing loss is often correlated with increased dementia risk and hearing aid use may be correlated with reduced or delayed cognitive decline.<sup>3,4,41,69</sup> Emerging longitudinal evidence suggests that earlier adoption of hearing intervention (i.e., diagnosis and treatment) in midlife may confer greater cognitive benefit among at-risk individuals.<sup>37,41,70</sup>

Beyond observational data, intervention studies provide converging evidence that amplification may slow cognitive decline in some individuals at-risk. The ACHIEVE trial demonstrated a 48% reduction in 3-year cognitive decline in at-risk adults.<sup>6</sup> The ENHANCE study similarly showed cognitive stability over 3 years in hearing aid users compared to age-matched controls.<sup>7</sup> Meta-analytic data further support these findings with hearing aid use associated with a 19% reduction in long-term cognitive decline risk<sup>8</sup> and potential benefit (i.e., delayed reduction) across global cognition, memory and executive function domains.<sup>12</sup>

Collectively, these findings reinforce the clinical imperative for timely (i.e., mid-life) hearing intervention, which may slow cognitive decline while preserving communication ability, social connectedness, and functional independence, all of which contribute to cognitive resilience in aging adults.<sup>71,72</sup>

## Conclusion

Untreated hearing loss is among the most significant potentially modifiable risk factors for cognitive decline and dementia. Converging evidence demonstrates that timely diagnosis and treatment with amplification may slow this trajectory. Framing hearing loss as a chronic sensory disorder with downstream neurobiological consequences reframes early detection as a clinical imperative. Early identification and treatment of auditory decline represents an actionable clinical strategy for preserving cognitive resilience and reducing dementia risk across the lifespan.

## Acknowledgements

None.

## Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

## References

1. Khil L, Wellmann J, Berger K. Determinants of single and multiple sensory impairments in an urban population. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* 2015;153(3):364–371.
2. GBD 2019 Hearing Loss Collaborators. Hearing loss prevalence and years lived with disability, 1990–2019: findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. *Lancet.* 2021;397(10278):996–1009.
3. Dawes P, Emsley R, Cruickshanks KJ, et al. Hearing loss and cognition: the role of hearing aids, social isolation, and depression. *PLoS One.* 2015;10(3):e0119616.
4. Maharani A, Dawes P, Nazroo J, et al. Longitudinal relationship between hearing aid use and cognitive function in older Americans. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2018;66(6):1130–1136.
5. Livingston G, Huntley J, Sommerlad A, et al. Dementia prevention, intervention, and care: 2020 report of the Lancet Commission. *Lancet.* 2020;396(10248):413–446.
6. Lin FR, Pike JR, Albert MS, et al. Hearing intervention versus health education control to reduce cognitive decline in older adults with hearing loss in the USA (ACHIEVE): a multicentre, randomized controlled trial. *Lancet.* 2023;402(10404):786–797.
7. Sarant JZ, Busby PA, Schembri AJ, et al. ENHANCE: a comparative prospective longitudinal study of cognitive outcomes after 3 years of hearing aid use in older adults. *Front Aging Neurosci.* 2023;15:1302185.
8. Yeo BSY, Song HJMD, Toh EMS, et al. Association of hearing aids and cochlear implants with cognitive decline and dementia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Neurol.* 2023;80(2):134–141.
9. Jiang F, Dong Q, Wu S, et al. Associations between hearing and vision impairments and risk of all-cause and cause-specific dementia. *BMC Med.* 2024;22(1):518.
10. Tsai Do BS, Bush ML, Weinreich HM, et al. Clinical practice guideline: age-related hearing loss. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* 2024;170(suppl 2):S1–S54.
11. Francis L, Seshadri S, Dillard LK, et al. Self-reported hearing aid use and risk of incident dementia. *JAMA Neurol.* 2025.
12. Liu G, Zhang Z, Yang Y, et al. Effects of hearing aid use on memory, executive function, and cognitive impairment among older adults with hearing loss: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci.* 2026;gbag033.
13. Alattar AA, Bergstrom J, Laughlin GA, et al. Hearing impairment and cognitive decline in older, community-dwelling adults. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci.* 2020;75(3):567–573.
14. Stickel AM, Tarraf W, Bainbridge KE, et al. Hearing sensitivity, cardiovascular risk, and neurocognitive function: the Hispanic Community Health Study/Study of Latinos (HCHS/SOL). *JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* 2021;147(4):377–387.
15. Cominetti MR, Pott H, Zúñiga RG, et al. Protecting cognitive function in older adults with age-related hearing loss: insights from the Irish Longitudinal Study on Ageing and the role of hearing aids. *Arch Gerontol Geriatr.* 2023;112:105043.
16. Lin FR. Age-related hearing loss. *N Engl J Med.* 2024;390(16):1505–1512.
17. Yu RC, Proctor D, Soni J, et al. Adult-onset hearing loss and incident cognitive impairment and dementia: a systematic review and meta-analysis of cohort studies. *Ageing Res Rev.* 2024;98:102346.
18. Kolo FB, Lu S, Beiser AS, et al. Hearing loss, brain structure, cognition, and dementia risk in the Framingham Heart Study. *JAMA Netw Open.* 2025;8(11):e2539209.
19. Schaeffe R, McAlpine D. Tinnitus with a normal audiogram: physiological evidence for hidden hearing loss and computational model. *J Neurosci.* 2011;31(38):13452–13457.
20. Liberman MC, Epstein MJ, Cleveland SS, et al. Toward a differential diagnosis of hidden hearing loss in humans. *PLoS One.* 2016;11(9):e0162726.
21. Golub JS, Brickman AM, Ciarleglio AJ, et al. Association of subclinical hearing loss with cognitive performance. *JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* 2020;146(1):57–67.
22. Grant KJ, Mepani AM, Wu P, et al. Electrophysiological markers of cochlear function correlate with hearing-in-noise performance among audiometrically normal subjects. *J Neurophysiol.* 2020;124(2):418–431.

23. Chern A, Irace AL, Sharma RK, et al. The longitudinal association of subclinical hearing loss with cognition in the Health, Aging and Body Composition Study. *Front Aging Neurosci.* 2022;14:857908.
24. Jafari Z, Baguley D, Kolb BE, et al. Extended high-frequency hearing thresholds in tinnitus with a normal audiogram: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ear Hear.* 2022;43(6):1643–1652.
25. Hockley A, Cassinotti LR, Selesko M, et al. Cochlear synaptopathy impairs suprathreshold tone-in-noise coding in the cochlear nucleus. *J Physiol.* 2023;601(14):2991–3006.
26. Helfer KS, Maldonado L, Matthews LJ, et al. Extended high-frequency thresholds: associations with demographic and risk factors, cognitive ability, and hearing outcomes in middle-aged and older adults. *Ear Hear.* 2024;45(6):1427–1443.
27. Soons LM, Deckers K, Tange H, et al. Cognitive change in prevalent and incident hearing loss: the Maastricht Aging Study. *Alzheimers Dement.* 2024;20(3):2102–2112.
28. Chang CW, Chen YC. The impact of early age-related hearing loss on working memory capacity and speech recognition in noise in middle-aged and older adults. *Exp Gerontol.* 2025;208:112823.
29. Vasilkov V, Liberman MC, Maison SF. Modeling the impact of cochlear nerve degeneration on speech recognition performance. *PLoS One.* 2025;20(11):e0336299.
30. Beck DL. Hearing screenings: an incomplete peek at a complex problem. *ASHA Leader.* 2025a.
31. Beck DL. Pre-diabetes, diabetes and hearing issues. *J Otolaryngol ENT Res.* 2025b;17(3):65–66.
32. Besser J, Stropahl M, Urry E, et al. Comorbidities of hearing loss and the implications of multimorbidity for audiological care. *Hear Res.* 2018;369:3–14.
33. Baiduc RR, Sun JW, Berry CM, et al. Relationship of cardiovascular disease risk and hearing loss in a clinical population. *Sci Rep.* 2023;13(1):1642.
34. Mick PT, Kabir R, Pichora-Fuller MK, et al. Associations between cardiovascular risk factors and audiometric hearing: findings from the Canadian Longitudinal Study on Aging. *Ear Hear.* 2023;44(6):1332–1343.
35. Ventry IM, Weinstein BE. The Hearing Handicap Inventory for the Elderly: a new tool. *Ear Hear.* 1982;3(3):128–134.
36. Newman CW, Jacobson GP, Spitzer JB. Development of the Tinnitus Handicap Inventory. *Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* 1996;122(2):143–148.
37. Livingston G, Huntley J, Liu KY, et al. Dementia prevention, intervention, and care: 2024 report of the Lancet Standing Commission. *Lancet.* 2024;404(10452):572–628.
38. Ishak E, Burg EA, Pike JR, et al. Population attributable fraction of incident dementia associated with hearing loss. *JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* 2025;151(6):568–575.
39. Readman MR, Littlejohn J, Dodd I, et al. Hearing loss as a risk factor for dementia: a systematic review and meta-analysis from a global perspective. *Aging Ment Health.* 2025;29(10):1831–1844.
40. Wasano K, Jørgensen K. The potential for dementia prevention in Japan: a population attributable fraction calculation for 14 modifiable risk factors and estimates of the impact of risk factor reductions. *Lancet Reg Health West Pac.* 2026;66:101792.
41. Amieva H, Ouvrard C, Giulioli C, et al. Self-reported hearing loss, hearing aids, and cognitive decline in elderly adults: a 25-year study. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2015;63(10):2099–2104.
42. Lin FR, Metter EJ, O'Brien RJ, et al. Hearing loss and incident dementia. *Arch Neurol.* 2011;68(2):214–220.
43. Griffiths TD, Lad M, Kumar S, et al. How can hearing loss cause dementia? *Neuron.* 2020;108(3):401–412.
44. Liu Q, Luo X, Liang Z, et al. Auditory activity sustains adult neurogenesis and cognition through the locus coeruleus-norepinephrine system. *Cell Stem Cell.* 2026;33:605–621.e6.
45. Glick HA, Beck DL, Darrow K, et al. The cognitive maladaptation hypothesis: how sensory deprivation could contribute to cognitive decline. *J Otolaryngol ENT Res.* 2025;17(2):38–42.
46. Lin FR, Ferrucci L, An Y, et al. Association of hearing impairment with brain volume changes in older adults. *Neuroimage.* 2014;90:84–92.
47. Qian ZJ, Chang PD, Moonis G, et al. A novel method of quantifying brain atrophy associated with age-related hearing loss. *Neuroimage Clin.* 2017;16:205–209.
48. Armstrong NM, An Y, Doshi J, et al. Association of midlife hearing impairment with late-life temporal lobe volume loss. *JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.* 2019;145(9):794–802.
49. Hemmingsen D, Stenklev NC, Klingenberg C. Extended high frequency audiometry thresholds in healthy school children. *Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol.* 2021;144:110690.
50. Mahboubi H, Lin HW, Bhatt IS, et al. At what age does hearing loss begin? *Laryngoscope.* 2018;128(6):1416–1419.
51. Jordan A, Bhatt IS, Bhattacharyya N. Extended high-frequency hearing thresholds in adults: normative data and associations. *Otol Neurotol.* 2022;43(10):e1115–e1121.
52. Dragon T, Bhatt IS, Matern MC, et al. Extended high-frequency audiometry in healthy adults: a systematic review. *Ear Hear.* 2023;44(6):1297–1311.
53. Kujawa SG, Liberman MC. Adding insult to injury: cochlear nerve degeneration after “temporary” noise-induced hearing loss. *J Neurosci.* 2009;29(45):14077–14085.
54. Maison SF, Usubuchi H, Liberman MC. Efferent feedback minimizes cochlear neuropathy from moderate noise exposure. *J Neurosci.* 2013;33(13):5542–5552.
55. Vasilkov V, Caswell-Midwinter B, Zhao Y, et al. Evidence of cochlear neural degeneration in normal-hearing subjects with tinnitus. *Sci Rep.* 2023;13(1):19456.
56. Pienkowski M. On the etiology of listening difficulties in noise despite clinically normal audiograms. *Ear Hear.* 2017;38(2):135–148.
57. Beck DL, Danhauer JL. Amplification for adults with hearing difficulty, speech in noise problems—and normal thresholds. *J Otolaryngol ENT Res.* 2019;11(1):84–88.
58. Zink ME, Zhen L, McHaney JR, et al. Increased listening effort and cochlear neural degeneration underlie speech-in-noise deficits in normal-hearing middle-aged adults. *eLife.* 2025;13:RP102823.
59. Langguth B, Kreuzer PM, Kleinjung T, et al. Tinnitus: causes and clinical management. *Lancet Neurol.* 2013;12(9):920–930.
60. Hu J, Cui J, Xu JJ, et al. The neural mechanisms of tinnitus: a perspective from functional magnetic resonance imaging. *Front Neurosci.* 2021;15:621145.
61. Moring JC, Husain FT, Gray J, et al. Invariant structural and functional brain regions associated with tinnitus: a meta-analysis. *PLoS One.* 2022;17(10):e0276140.

62. Chu HT, Liang CS, Yeh TC, et al. Tinnitus and risk of Alzheimer disease and Parkinson disease: a retrospective nationwide population-based cohort study. *Sci Rep*. 2020;10(1):12134.
63. Yang D, Zhang D, Zhang X, et al. Tinnitus-associated cognitive and psychological impairments: a comprehensive review and meta-analysis. *Front Neurosci*. 2024;18:1275560.
64. Peelle JE. Listening effort: how the cognitive consequences of acoustic challenge are reflected in brain and behavior. *Ear Hear*. 2018;39(2):204–214.
65. Stevenson JS, Clifton L, Kuźma E, et al. Speech-in-noise hearing impairment is associated with an increased risk of incident dementia in 82,039 UK Biobank participants. *Alzheimers Dement*. 2022;18(3):445–456.
66. Pichora-Fuller MK, Kramer SE, Eckert MA, et al. Hearing impairment and cognitive energy: the Framework for Understanding Effortful Listening (FUEL). *Ear Hear*. 2016;37(suppl 1):5S–27S.
67. Beck DL, Dzurinko V. Dual sensory impairment: update 2025. *J Otolaryngol ENT Res*. 2025;17(2):73–76.
68. Slade K, Plack CJ, Nuttall HE. The effects of age-related hearing loss on the brain and cognitive function. *Trends Neurosci*. 2020;43(10):810–821.
69. Jiang F, Mishra SR, Shrestha N, et al. Association between hearing aid use and dementia: UK Biobank cohort analysis. *Lancet Public Health*. 2023;8(5):e329–e338.
70. Beck DL, Darrow KN, Ballachanda B, et al. Untreated hearing loss, hearing aids, and cognition: correlational outcomes 2025. *HearingTracker*. Published February 8, 2025.
71. Beck DL, Grisel JJ. Cognitive screenings in otolaryngology? The time has come. *J Otolaryngol ENT Res*. 2022;14(2):56–60.
72. Broome EE, Tannirandom P, Straus J, et al. Patient perceptions of cognitive screening in adult audiology services: a qualitative exploration. *Front Neurol*. 2023;14:1143128.